

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/695,816	10/24/2000	Ernest F. Hasselbrink, Jr.	SD-8298	4265
7590 02/26/2004			EXAMINER	
SANDIA LABS			THOMPSON, JEWEL VERGIE	
PATETNS MS 9031 P.O. BOX 969			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	CA 94551-0969		2855	
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Jes - 10 14		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
J.F.		09/695,816	HASSELBRINK, JR. ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jewel V Thompson	2855		
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 31 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. 1.135 yes a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n 09 December 2003.			
• —	•				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-4,12-14.17,21 and 25 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,12-14,17,21 and 25 is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction ion Papers The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	withdrawn from consideration. rejected. n and/or election requirement. xaminer. □ accepted or b) □ objected to length to the drawing(s) be held in abeyange correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	, the Daminon Note the uncomes			
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date	- D	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 		

Application/Control Number: 09/695,816

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4, 12, 13, 16, 17, 21 and 25 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4, 12, 13, 16, and 21 of copending Application No. 10/141,906. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both of the applications teach a device for controlling fluid in a microchannel including spaced apart retaining means disposed within the microchannel except for a variation in the manufacturing process, therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to have used the process of the co-pending application in the instant application for the purpose of providing an element which is strong in structure

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/695,816 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 12/9/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that claims 1 of co-pending application 10/141,906 has been amended is longer coextensive in scope with claims 1 and 25 of the instant application.

Examiner disagrees. Although claim 1 of co-pending application has been amended, the claims have an obvious variation to the elements, therefore the rejection was changed from a 101 to a non-statutory rejection.

Art Unit: 2855

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jewel V Thompson whose telephone number is 571-272-2189. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4:30, off alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jvt

EDWARD LEFKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800